

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0250302 DATE: <u>10/29/2010</u>	ARRIVE: 8:55AM DEPART: 9:50AM					
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPE	ERMIX, INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION: 9800 SW 169 ST						
MIAMI 33157-						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: ORLANDO CASTANEDA Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/12/2007 / 11/12/2007 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: (305)262-3250 Mobile:					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ORLANDO If no, who is?:	CASTANEDA?					
If different, did the facility provide an administrati 3. Is the facility contact still ORLANDO CASTANE. If no, who is?:	ve update within 30 days?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today	r's inspection?					

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -CONCRETE READY-MIX PLANT 86 3 TONS/HR W/2 BAG HOUSES subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 9/27/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	ol unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or m  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece control emissions?	Yes No No essary to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrai particulate matter from stock piles?	nment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? X Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No Yes No

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one ach question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		1.00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		⊠ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS		only one ach question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general parmit and complies with all	· 🛚 Yes	□ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of be concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants	oth stationary and relocatable	ch question)
<ol> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ol>	Yes  or Local Air Program by telephone, day prior to changing location? Yes tification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] usiness days following a relocation? Yes ification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separa and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lon co-located at the permitted facility?	g it was  Yes  Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
<u>CHANGES</u>		only one ch question)
II A aministrativa L'hangas	oon for cuc	1 /
<ol> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d</li> <li>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes Yes ent?	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes ent? Yes ubstantially different? Yes	⊠ No □ No □ No
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement. C. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is standard and the complex of th	of the facility or authorized representative not ution of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes ent? Yes ubstantially different? Yes aration form and the appropriate fee submitted	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	of the facility or authorized representative not ution of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes ent? Yes ubstantially different? Yes aration form and the appropriate fee submitted	No No No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is standard and the change in ownership?</li></ol>	of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes ent? Yes ubstantially different? Yes ration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes ent? Yes ubstantially different? Yes ration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes around the appropriate fee submitted Yes Toly29/2010  Date of Inspection	No No No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is standard and the change in ownership?</li></ol>	of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes ays of the change? Yes ent? Yes ubstantially different? Yes ration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** On October 29, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to attend the visible emissions test. On site I met Roberto Gomez, the dispatcher of the facility and Tony Mazpule, the consultant of the facility operates occasionally and produces appronximately 500 yards of cement per month. Noah Handley, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the VE test. The silo was loaded with cement at a rate of 10 PSI. And, the cement was pumping from outside.I did not observe any visible emissions during the test. No fugitive emissions were observed around the facility.